

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,270	01/17/2002	Robert Nissels	14313	1300
25763	7590 03/13/2003			
	WHITNEY LLP	EXAMINER		
50 SOUTH SI		THOMPSON, KATHRYN L		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-1498		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)	VV
		10/053,270	NISSELS, ROBER	₹T
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kathryn L Thompso	n 3763	-
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - if the - if NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX ute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this occome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 <u>January 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final	•	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-17$ is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8,10-13 and</u>	<u>16</u> is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 4.5,7,9,14,15 and 17 is/are rejected	l.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requireme	nt.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9)🖾 .	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)🖾 ີ	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2002</u> is/ar	e: a)□ accepted or b)[2	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held ir	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved I	o) disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action		
12) 🔲 🧻	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	d in Application No	
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Elec the attached detailed Office action for a lie	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		application).
a) The translation of the foreign language particles Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application	has been received.	,, ,
Attachment	•	,,	JJ	
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ner:	
S. Patent and To TO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of	f Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 3763

(cr

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, and 11, drawn to a suction piece for a device, classified in class 604, subclass 264.
- II. Claims 4-10, and 14-17, drawn to a device, classified in class 604, subclass 115.
- III. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a method for administering an injectable product, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination is more specifically recited in Invention I. The subcombination has separate utility such as acting as a connection means between two sealed lumens of a device.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Art Unit: 3763

1

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used with another device to practice another and materially different process such as removing excess fluid from a wound site.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as removing excess fluid from a wound site.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) Figures 1 and 2; (B) Figure 3. In addition to election of a single species, Applicant needs to further elect a single subspecies from the following patentably distinct subspecies: (a) Figure 4; (b) Figure 5; (c) Figure 7; (d) Figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Bruhn on January 28, 2003, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, Species (B), Subspecies (b), claims 4-10, and 14-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-3, and 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Examiner further withdraws Claims 6, 8, 10, and 16 as

Art Unit: 3763

being drawn to the non-elected inventions of Species (A), Subspecies (c), Subspecies (d), and Subspecies (c) respectively.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because Applicant uses reference number 6 to designate the suction chamber, whereas in the specification and drawings reference number 6 is used to designate the chamber wall. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In Applicant's Preliminary Amendment A, Examiner notes that the first part of the Detailed Description on the bottom of Page 2, leading to Page 3, appears to be missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after
the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit
the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the receptacle, rim, and opening must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3763

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "9" and "18" have both been used to designate the same structure in Figures 5 and 6. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagner (US 4,284,077). Wagner discloses a device comprising a casing comprising a receptacle, a dispensing means comprising an opening, a suction chamber (6) comprising a rim, and a passage formed in a wall of said suction chamber (Figure 1).

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

KI T

March 9, 2003

MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7